What mandatory reporting laws should I be aware of in my jurisdiction? Texas law requires any person to report child abuse, elder abuse, or abuse of a person with a disability to the Department of Family and Protective Services. Read the statutes below for definitions of abuse and reporting procedures. Additionally, physicians treating gunshot wounds must report to law enforcement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What must be reported?</th>
<th>Who is REQUIRED to report?</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If I am working in Indian Country or on federal property, what authorities should I consult to determine my mandatory reporting obligations? Several laws govern mandatory reporting obligations in Indian Country and on federal property. Tribal codes may require certain individuals to report child abuse and elder abuse to tribal officials, law enforcement, or tribal social services. Federal laws also address mandatory reporting. These laws apply to certain professionals who work in federal facilities or lands, or who suspect that child abuse has occurred or will occur in Indian Country. Additionally, state laws (discussed in Question 1), licensing regulations, and ethical obligations may require certain professionals to report abuse. Determining how these laws interact is complicated. Programs should contact attorneys and technical assistance providers for more information.
May an advocate be present during a victim’s privileged communications with a lawyer, mental health professional, or physician without waiving the victim’s right to keep those communications confidential? Maybe. Under Texas law, several types of communications are privileged including confidential communications between an advocate and a victim,\(^5\) meaning that neither party may be forced to disclose what was said without the privilege holder’s consent. These privilege laws apply to confidential communications only. The lawyer-client,\(^7\) physician-patient,\(^8\) and mental health professional-patient\(^9\) privileges all recognize that a communication still can be considered confidential if an interpreter or another person necessary to transmit the communication, or to further the rendition of the professional services or the client’s interests, depending on the privilege, is present.

May an interpreter be present during a victim’s privileged communications with a lawyer, mental health professional, or physician without waiving the victim’s privilege to keep those communications confidential? Yes, if the interpreter is needed to relay the communications. In Texas, privileged communications (such as those discussed in Question 3) are still considered confidential if a third party’s presence is reasonably necessary for transmission of the communications.\(^10\) Additionally, where a Deaf person is a party or witness in a civil case, Texas requires courts to appoint qualified interpreters to interpret proceedings.\(^11\) If a Deaf person communicates through an interpreter to a person under circumstances in which the communication would be privileged, the privilege applies to the interpreter as well.\(^12\)

Are a victim’s privileged communications with a lawyer, mental health professional, or physician protected from disclosure after the victim’s death? Yes, because Texas law indicates that privileged communications with a lawyer,\(^13\) physician,\(^14\) and mental health professional\(^15\) are protected from disclosure after the victim’s death.

Are communications between a victim and a prosecutor’s office or law enforcement agency confidential? No. Communications between a victim and employees of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor’s office are not confidential because the government has a duty to turn over exculpatory evidence to the defendant. Exculpatory evidence is information that tends to prove the defendant’s innocence and could include statements or personal records the victim gave to an advocate employed by a prosecutor’s office, law enforcement, or other government agency. By contrast, advocates with non-profit agencies typically are not subject to these rules, as they are not part of the prosecution team or a party to the criminal case.

When must school employees report gender-based violence against adult victims to the school’s Title IX Coordinator? An employee’s role determines when a report of gender-based violence, e.g., sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, or sexually motivated stalking, must be made to a Title IX Coordinator. Under Title IX, a “responsible employee” has a duty to report such violence if they “knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, about the harassment.” Responsible employees include anyone who has authority to address the violence; who has a duty to report other misconduct that violates school policy; or whom a student could reasonably believe has this authority or duty. In 2017, the Office for Civil Rights retracted
the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter and companion guidance. The federal requirements for what a responsible employee must disclose to a Title IX Coordinator are currently unclear. If an employee’s communication with the survivor are privileged, e.g., communications discussed in Question 3, they have no duty to report the violence unless other mandatory reporting obligations are in effect, e.g. reporting abuse of a minor or of an adult with a disability.

**May law enforcement access an adult victim’s health information without the victim’s consent?** It depends on the type of information that is requested. The chart below summarizes some of the common situations in which law enforcement (LE) may access health information without patient consent under Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations. Additionally, health care providers may be required by law to report certain injuries to LE, as discussed in Question 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>What may be disclosed</th>
<th>Limitations on what may be disclosed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health care provider receives court order, court-ordered warrant, subpoena or summons issued by a judicial officer, or grand jury subpoena</td>
<td>Information authorized by the court order, court-ordered warrant, subpoena, or summons</td>
<td>Disclosure may be limited by Texas’ Medical Records Privacy Act and physician-patient privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider receives administrative subpoena, summons, investigative demand, or other non-judicial process authorized by law</td>
<td>Information authorized by the administrative demand</td>
<td>LE must certify that the information requested is relevant, material, specific, and limited in scope, and that de-identified information could not reasonably be used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE asks about a patient by name</td>
<td>The patient’s location in the health care facility and general medical condition</td>
<td>Information must not be released if the patient has opted out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE requests information to identify or locate a suspect, fugitive, witness, or missing person</td>
<td>Name; address; birth date; SSN; blood type; injury; date and time of treatment; date and time of death; physical description</td>
<td>Provider cannot disclose information related to the patient’s DNA; dental records; or typing, samples, or analysis of body fluids or tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE requests information about a crime victim who cannot consent due to incapacity or emergency</td>
<td>Information that LE states is needed to determine whether a crime has occurred</td>
<td>Information cannot be intended to be used against the victim; LE’s need must be immediate; disclosure must be in the victim’s best interests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How can I determine the privacy rights of minors and whether minors may legally consent to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking services? The laws that govern a minor’s right to privacy and right to consent to services are varied and complex. You may also contact your state coalition or the Victim Rights Law Center for more information.

Does a victim whose private information or photographs have been posted online without consent have any civil legal remedies? Yes, but legal and practical success and the victim’s options will vary greatly depending on the facts of the case. Consult an attorney familiar with these issues before advising victims. Civil causes of action against the person who posted the content may include invasion of privacy, intrusion on the right to seclusion, public disclosure of private facts, wrongful appropriation of name or likeness, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and civil stalking. If the website hosting the content has policies regarding harassment or sexually explicit content, the victim should use these policies to request removal. Additionally, if the victim took the photo, video, or other content at issue, the victim may submit a Digital Millennium Copyright Act notice requesting that the website remove it.

Additionally, Texas has a criminal nonconsensual pornography (aka “revenge porn”) statute. An individual commits a misdemeanor if: (1) without the depicted person’s consent, the individual intentionally discloses visual material depicting a person who is nude or engaged in sexual conduct; (2) the depicted person reasonably expected that the material would remain private; and (3) the disclosure of the material harms the depicted person. A separate statute allows victims to pursue civil remedies, including damages and injunctions to prevent further disclosure or promotion of the material.

1 We have included this information for all jurisdictions because it may aid professionals who work across state lines or in federal lands or facilities.
2 Federal law defines “Indian Country” as all land within the limits of an Indian reservation under the jurisdiction of the U.S. government; all dependent Indian communities; and all Indian allotments still in trust. 18 U.S.C. § 1151.
5 A list of OVW technical assistance (TA) providers, including tribal TA providers, is available at https://ta2ta.org/directory.html.
7 Tex. R. Evid. 503.
8 Tex. R. Evid. 509.
9 Tex. R. Evid. 510.
10 Tex. R. Evid. 503, 509, 510.
14 Tex R. Evid. 509(d), (f).
15 Tex R. Evid. 510(a), (c).
16 45 C.F.R. § 164.512. The regulations define “law enforcement official” as “an officer or employee of any agency or authority of the United States, a State, a territory, a political subdivision of a State or territory, or an Indian tribe, who is empowered by law to: (1) Investigate or conduct an official inquiry into a potential violation of law; or (2) Prosecute or otherwise conduct a criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding arising from an alleged violation of law.” 45 C.F.R. § 164.103.